

Every Hair a Battle Scar: Buffy Summers' Hair as Narrative Engine, Cultural Icon, and Postfeminist Text

Martin Lepage

Introduction : Hair as Narrative, Hair as Culture

In *Buffy the Vampire Slayer* (1997–2003), hair is never incidental. In this sense, hair operates like a minor archive of damage—not “scar” in a literal, wounded-skin register, but scar as trace: the durable remainder of events that television cannot always represent directly without breaking tonal continuity or brand legibility. Buffy’s hair carries those traces in a fairly specific way, through incremental shifts in cut, texture, and color that record crisis, recovery, and refusal without requiring the narrative to name them as such. Read this way, each seasonal style change becomes a small inscription of what the body has survived, a visible remainder of battles fought on and off screen.

From Buffy Summers’ first appearance in “Welcome to the Hellmouth” (1.01, 00:03:13–00:03:16), honey-blond layers, softly feathered bangs, and a white butterfly clip establish hair as one of the series’ most legible visual systems for tracking transformation, crisis, and the ongoing labor of becoming, across textures, cuts, and color that shift season by season. Across television history, costume and set design

Martin Lepage is a Montréal-based scholar, writer, and interdisciplinary artist whose work explores queer theory, ritual studies, digital enchantment, and media culture. He holds a PhD in Social Sciences and has published on contemporary Paganism, postfeminist media, and hybrid creative-ethnographic methods. His current research engages digital aesthetics and poetics, and the feminist politics around artificial intelligence and academic legitimacy.

have received substantial scholarly attention, but hair remains under-theorised as a serialized practice that is both narrative and industrial, shaped by continuity demands, branding, and labor. Buffy's hair resists reduction to a single makeover moment. It is instead a sustained, season-spanning visual text, woven into the show's feminist and postfeminist negotiations of female agency, leadership, and embodiment.

Yvonne Tasker and Diane Negra situate the 1990s teen heroine within a postfeminist media culture in which surface style functions as both a mode of self-expression and a site of regulatory discipline (2–4). Buffy's hair must therefore be read in two registers: as a diegetic cue that tracks psychological and situational shifts, and as an extradiegetic artifact negotiated among performer (Sarah Michelle Gellar), production labor, network branding, and audience interpretation. As Stella Bruzzi and Pamela Church Gibson claim in relation to fashion and film, style is “both evidence of character and an extradiegetic signal, a point of address to the audience about the world they inhabit and the pleasures they are invited to take” (89). This is acutely true of *Buffy*, where hair functions as both evidence and address: it organizes what we read as Buffy's interior state in-universe, while cueing how the series wants her to be recognized and desired.

This paper reads Buffy's hair as both narrative engine and cultural archive, tracing it across the original television run (Seasons 1–7) and the canonical Dark Horse Comics continuation (Seasons 8–12). The argument is twofold: first, that hair operates as a continuity anchor through which the series repeatedly stages the instability of identity across adolescence and early adulthood; second, that Buffy's hair is embedded in the aesthetic politics of 1990s–2000s girlhood, where beauty labor is framed simultaneously as empowering self-fashioning and compulsory work (Gill 149–150).

The stakes extend beyond the series. Buffy's hair participates in a wider 1990s style economy, alongside the Halliwells' shifting looks on *Charmed* (1998–2006), Jennifer Aniston's "Rachel" on *Friends* (1994–2004), and the late-1990s pop choreography of hair as spectacle. These references foreground a serial paradox of youth media: style must change enough to signify development, yet not so radically that recognizability and brand attachment fracture. Buffy's hair works within this constraint, producing transformation through calibrated variation rather than rupture.

Methodologically, I treat hair as a serialized visual signifier, tracking cut, texture, color, and styling across Seasons 1–7 and, selectively, the Dark Horse continuation, in relation to narrative beats and antagonists. This close screen-textual analysis is triangulated with production paratexts (DVD commentaries, interviews, *Watcher's Guide* materials) and fan archives, following fan studies approaches to distributed authorship and memory. Claims about production intent are restricted to explicitly attributable sources. The aim is not to adjudicate "accuracy," but to show how hair produces meaning at the junction of text, industry, and audience.

Theoretical Framework: Postfeminism, Hair, and Serial Television

This paper employs "postfeminism" as a media sensibility (not a historical "after"), marked by empowerment talk coupled to intensified self-surveillance and beauty labor (Gill; McRobbie; Tasker and Negra). Hair is a material site where autonomy and regulation are negotiated, making Buffy's serialized hair transformations a particularly rich object for understanding postfeminist modes of agency, labor, and visibility.

Hair in popular media has long been a site of aesthetic investment and symbolic negotiation. Scholars in fashion

studies and feminist media analysis have traced how hair participates in regimes of visibility and identity formation (Weitz 47–48; Rooks 9–10). For 1990s teen and young adult television, hair became one of the most discussed visual markers of character, circulating in entertainment magazines, fan forums, and paratextual interviews as a shorthand for narrative change. Within postfeminist media culture, as Rosalind Gill notes, beauty practices are framed as freely chosen self-care while simultaneously functioning as disciplinary mechanisms that tether women's identities to a narrow set of aesthetic ideals (149). Buffy's hair transformations must be read in this double frame: as self-fashioning that reflects her inner shifts, and as part of the show's negotiation with network-era demands for aesthetic continuity.

Within postfeminist media culture, blondness functions as a privileged technology of recognizability and legibility, especially for white female protagonists positioned as simultaneously powerful, relatable, and non-threatening. Cultural historians of hair and femininity have shown that blonde hair operates as a visual shorthand for moral clarity, accessibility, and aspirational authority in late-twentieth-century U.S. popular culture (Jolly; Burton). Blondness often reads as 'natural' and unmarked, allowing power to appear innate rather than produced through labor, a neutrality that is itself politically charged in postfeminist television. As Rosalind Gill and Angela McRobbie point out, postfeminist femininity frequently displaces structural power onto surface self-management, framing visibility and attractiveness as evidence of competence. Blondness, in this context, stabilizes authority by aligning it with familiarity and desirability, minimizing the perceived threat of female strength. Buffy Summers' consistent alignment with honey-blond styling across the series thus operates not merely as character design but as a technology of legibility: her power

is rendered readable, acceptable, and marketable through a color regime already saturated with cultural meaning.

This privilege does not render blondness neutral. The series stages this economy through contrast, Faith's dark unruly waves, Kendra's tightly disciplined styles, Nikki Wood's Afro-textured 1970s looks, so hair becomes a differential marker of risk, respectability, and leadership. Read through this framework, Buffy's hair does not simply reflect her narrative growth; it actively participates in the postfeminist negotiation of power, whiteness, and recognizability that structures her cultural intelligibility.

The 1990s saw a surge of what Sarah Banet-Weiser terms "commodity feminism," in which feminist ideals were packaged through consumer culture, often via beauty and fashion (*Kids* 7). Hair was central to this process, not merely as a trend but as a reproducible commodity : cuts, colors, and styles that viewers could imitate. The "Rachel" cut from *Friends* (1994-2004) is a paradigmatic example of a TV hairstyle that circulated as an easily replicable consumer template. To many of that era, "The Rachel" was not just a haircut but a cultural shorthand for youthful competence, urban belonging, and fashion-conscious independence (*Kids* 39).

Hair also functions as part of the costuming system of television, a point that film and fashion scholars emphasize when analyzing character coherence, genre, and serial identity. Hair often operates as a mobile costume piece, bridging facial performance, body framing, and genre conventions. Adrienne L. McLean's work on costume, makeup, and hair in screen media further suggests that hair is a continuity anchor, a visual element that must simultaneously serve narrative progression, actor preference, production constraints, and audience expectation (2-3). Buffy exemplifies this tension: each season's hair must remain visually coherent enough to sustain character identity while

shifting enough to register emotional states and narrative stakes.

Buffy's contemporaries in supernatural and teen drama television further illustrate the industrial and cultural stakes of hair. In *Charmed*, each of the Halliwell sisters underwent frequent hair transformations, sometimes tracking character arcs (Prue's shorter, angular styles during more militant narrative turns; Phoebe's long waves during romantic storylines) but often driven by actor preference or fashion trends. The multiplicity of styles diluted their narrative impact, turning hair into an accessory rather than a sustained visual metaphor. In contrast, Buffy's hair changes are few enough, and thematically anchored enough, to read as deliberate textual work.

The "Felicity haircut" of 1999, when Keri Russell's character cut her signature long curls into a short pixie, is perhaps the most notorious example of hair as a flashpoint in audience reception. The backlash for the *Felicity* series (1998-2002) was widely discussed, and the incident entered network lore as a cautionary tale about altering a heroine's signature look (Lotz 112). Buffy's hair journey avoids such rupture by shifting within a contained range, subtle enough to maintain recognizability, yet meaningful enough to register as transformation. This balancing act allows hair to serve as what Jason Mittell calls a "narrative signifier," a visual shorthand that cues viewers to emotional and thematic shifts without derailing the broader brand identity (278).

Fan studies scholarship also illuminates the role of hair in participatory culture. Henry Jenkins describes how fans engage with paratexts, including promotional images, to create interpretive frameworks that sometimes exceed the intended narrative (56-58). In Buffy fandom, hair-focused GIFsets, memes, and episode-by-episode "hair charts" are a micro-genre of fan labor, transforming a production choice into a collective memory practice. As Paul Booth observes,

such fan practices “remix” the text, assigning value and narrative weight to visual details that might otherwise pass unnoticed by casual viewers (89).

Analysis: Buffy’s Hair Journey and The Aesthetic Politics of 1990s Girlhood

Buffy’s hair operates within the aesthetic politics of 1990s girlhood, when female youth culture was hyper-visible and heavily commodified through teen magazines (*Seventeen*, *YM*), music video channels (MTV, VH1), and teen-oriented programming blocks. In this media ecology, a heroine’s hair functions as a marker of recognizability and brand stability, an instantly legible cue to personality and, at times, moral alignment.

This section offers a seasonal close reading of Buffy Summers’ hair across television Seasons 1–7, with targeted reference to the canonical Dark Horse continuation (Seasons 8–10). I track cut, texture, color, and styling as they shift in relation to narrative beats, antagonists, and Buffy’s changing social role.

Following Catherine Driscoll’s account of the 1990s girl as a figure asked to perform “authentic” self-expression while remaining within narrow beauty norms (145–147), I read Buffy’s hair as a site where self-fashioning and discipline converge. Hair works tactically within the diegesis as camouflage and as labor, while functioning extradiegetically as a WB-era branding device. In Ien Ang’s terms, it becomes a double-coded signifier, legible as “just a look” to casual viewers and as narrative text to devoted fans (89). The analysis proceeds seasonally, treating hair as a recurring narrative technology through which the series stages postfeminist agency, aesthetic labor, and the limits of makeover repair.

This period also saw the rise of Anita Harris’s “can-do girl” : confident, ambitious, self-styling, her surface

presentation both proof of competence and part of it (36). Buffy literalises this trope : her fighting skill is unquestioned, but her image is calibrated to deflect suspicion and preserve her “just a girl” cover. Hair thus becomes tactical: camouflage in-universe (Season 1’s mall-ready gloss masking a death-wrestling warrior) and, extra-diegetically, a prop in the WB’s marketing of her as relatable yet aspirational.

Compared to contemporaries, Buffy’s hair changed less often and with more narrative intent. The Charmed sisters’ frequent, drastic shifts, often driven by fashion cycles, sometimes clashed with story tone. Buffy’s measured alterations made her a different kind of icon: not a mannequin for trends, but a character whose style shifts read as consequences of plot. Buffy mostly avoids rupture, keeping changes visible enough to mark development but never so radical as to alienate viewers. Even extreme cases, the Season 6 “dead hair” or Season 3 “baby bangs glitch,” maintain an identifiable silhouette.

In this sense, Buffy’s hair operates as Ien Ang’s “double-coded” signifier, addressing multiple interpretive communities at once (89). For casual viewers, it is simply a refreshed look; for devoted fans, it is a narrative text signalling emotional states, strategies, and thematic arcs. This multivalence has allowed Buffy’s hair to accrue interpretive weight, sustaining a visual metaphor that is unusually durable within 1990s teen television.

The analysis proceeds seasonally, treating hair not as incidental styling but as a recurring narrative technology through which the series stages postfeminist agency, aesthetic labor, and the refusals of makeover repair. Each subsection links a specific hair regime (cut/texture/color) to the season’s crisis-structure and the show’s shifting demands on girlhood, leadership, and legibility.

Season 1: Camouflage and the Mall Girl Slayer

When Buffy Summers first steps into the narrative frame in “Welcome to the Hellmouth” (1.1, 00:04:24 00:04:28), her hair is already doing narrative work. Honey-blond layers, softly graduated bangs, a white butterfly clip: the look is instantly legible to a late-1990s teen audience as fashionable, accessible, and safely familiar. It borrows from *Clueless*’ Cher Horowitz (1995), an aesthetic that Driscoll frames as “mall-girl glamour,” a surface sheen that communicates youthful privilege, mobility, and an unthreatening middle-class femininity (148). Inside Sunnydale High, this hair reads as social camouflage. Buffy may be the Slayer, but her styling aligns her with the cheerleader archetype rather than the warrior woman.

That camouflage is reinforced by the series’ early visual logic. In DVD commentary for “Welcome to the Hellmouth” (1.1, 00:22:15 00:23:01), Joss Whedon describes the palette as warm and high-school bright, a deliberate strategy that intensifies the contrast between the darkness of the premise and the brightness of the setting. Hair and costume become extensions of lighting and production design, contributing to what Jane Feuer might call a “surface/sincerity” aesthetic in which a cheerful, consumer-friendly world sharpens the impact of horror when it ruptures (151). By giving Buffy hair that could belong in a *Delia*’s catalogue, Whedon and costume designer Cynthia Bergstrom establish an ironic distance between her visual identity and her narrative function.

The season’s Big Bad makes this economy stark. The Master is an ancient vampire whose face is ridged bone, his baldness amplifying his distance from surface glamour. Buffy’s confrontation with him in “Prophecy Girl” (1.12, 00:31:15 00:31:18) stages a charged contrast: blonde gloss versus bone-white scalp. When Buffy dies and is resuscitated, her hair remains pristine even a few moments after immersion in water. This refusal to muss the heroine in

a moment of death plays as a visual joke on television's commitment to maintaining female attractiveness, but it also marks the first small fracture in Buffy's faith that appearance can shield her from the violence of her calling. Hair becomes talismanic continuity. Whatever she endures, the surface holds, for now.

The late-1990s media ecology amplifies the strategy. Sarah Banet-Weiser suggests that WB teen branding cultivated an "authenticity" rooted in careful construction of relatable yet aspirational girlhood (*Authenticity* 86). Buffy's Season 1 hair fits this template: styled enough to be admired, simple enough to be imitated with a drugstore mousse and a basic curling iron. Promotional images lean into daylight and soft studio lighting that makes her hair catch and glow, echoing the backlit luminance of late-1990s pop iconography.

Inside the diegesis, however, the camouflage is already under pressure. Episodes such as "The Witch" (1.3) and "Nightmares" (1.10) repeatedly place Buffy in conditions that threaten surface control: sweat, wind, supernatural mishaps, the genre's insistence that the body will not stay contained. Season 1's visual pleasure is not only beauty beside danger, but the ongoing negotiation of what kinds of femininity are permitted to coexist with power.

Buffy's blondness also situates her within a longer televisual lineage. From Farrah Fawcett in *Charlie's Angels* (1976–1981) to Heather Locklear in *Melrose Place* (1993–1999), blonde hair has functioned as a flexible signifier: inviting, glamorous, potentially lethal. Buffy inherits this tradition, but, as Susan Douglas observes of 1990s media women, she does so under the influence of postfeminist irony (251). Her hair is not simply there to be admired. It is a knowing performance she can step into and out of, much like her rapid shifts from flirtation to combat.

By the close of Season 1, Buffy's hair has endured her first televised death and resurrection without visible alteration, and that persistence feels almost defiant. Socio-culturally, it preserves the fantasy of invulnerable femininity embedded in WB branding and postfeminist media culture. For the attentive viewer, though, trauma beside perfect hair begins to destabilise makeover logic. The surface does not signal growth or repair. It signals the beginning of a long, uneasy relationship between identity, performance, and appearance that the series will keep staging across subsequent seasons.

Season 2: From Flip to Fracture

Season 2 opens with "When She Was Bad" (2.1), and Buffy's visual register has sharpened. The fully naive gloss of Season 1 gives way to a more polished look: shoulder-length layers with a pronounced outward flip (00:02:44 00:02:52). The flip signals confidence and cultural fluency. Buffy can fight vampires while remaining trend-aware, passing seamlessly through high school corridors and the imagined social spaces of 1990s television.

The shift is not merely cosmetic, because the antagonist has changed in kind. Season 2's Big Bad is Angelus, not an external monster like the Master, but an intimacy-based antagonist whose violence is predicated on knowing her. Scholars of melodrama and television seriality note that intimacy collapses the boundary between public and private stakes (Williams 73). Buffy's glossy flip functions as mask: composure on the surface while instability intensifies beneath.

Paratexts underline the intent. In DVD commentary for "Surprise" (2.13, 00:18:42 00:19:10) and "Innocence" (2.14, 00:06:31 00:07:02), Costume Designer Cynthia Bergstrom recalls a clean, sharper, more adult brief, with hair that had to communicate that Buffy was "owning the room." That ownership is underwritten, however, by what the season

repeatedly stages as catastrophe behind the sheen. As Angel loses his soul and Buffy confronts the possibility of killing him, her hair maintains glossy perfection, producing visual dissonance: a surface that insists on competence while the narrative insists on fracture.

Fan discourse from the period echoes this double-coding. Archived Bronze threads debated whether the hair read as aspirational or too adult, with one poster insisting that it telegraphed control while the character was falling apart (qtd in Stafford 58). The ambivalence aligns with Tasker and Negra's account of postfeminist femininity, in which the "can-do" girl is expected to juggle emotional crisis without surrendering the labour of looking composed (3).

Buffy's Season 2 hair also works relationally across the era's female-led ensemble shows. *Charmed's* Halliwell sisters cycle through frequent, drastic changes that track trend cycles as much as story. Buffy threads a narrower needle: stylish enough to remain current, consistent enough to protect recognizability. Even diegetic disruption is carefully staged. In "Surprise" (2.13, 00:04:22 00:04:28), rain dampens her strands as she runs, but the cut and colour remain intact, illustrating what Charlotte Brunsdon calls "controlled dishevelment," a calibrated disorder that never compromises the heroine's visual brand (105).

The flip's narrative power lies in its mutability. Early episodes allow it to read as lively, flirtatious motion. In darker lighting, and under duress, it becomes brittle shell. The same haircut performs Susan Bordo's "double bind" of feminine appearance, required to reassure and seduce, protect and expose (195). By "Becoming, Part Two" (2.22), Buffy kills Angel and leaves Sunnydale. The closing image holds her hair unchanged, sunlight catching the outward curve as she looks back (00:42:52 00:42:55). The season confirms a pattern: hair is not a simple marker of growth, but a surface onto which the contradictions of girlhood, power,

and trauma are projected. Confidence and catastrophe share the same face, and the same cut.

Season 3: The Baby Bangs Glitch

Season 3 begins with Buffy back in Sunnydale's familiar corridors, hair restored to the long layered gloss of late Season 2, with one brief interruption that remains culturally louder than its screen time. After Angel ends their relationship, she appears with cropped, choppy fringe: the infamous "baby bangs," still among the most debated styling decisions in the series.

Read as glitch, the bangs encode fracture rather than transformation. They interrupt the clean vertical flow of her lengths and redirect attention to the eyes, producing a look that can register as vulnerable and defensive at once. Stella Bruzzi argues that a single incongruous element can destabilize an entire visual persona and create space for narrative doubt (84). The bangs operate precisely this way, not as triumphant reinvention but as visible wound, a sign that Buffy's coherence has been interrupted.

Their temporality matters. By "The Wish" (3.9), they are already growing out, blending back into the longer silhouette (00:00:55 00:00:59). This ephemerality differentiates Buffy from contemporaneous genre heroines whose cuts often define long arcs. Buffy's bangs function instead as transitional residue, a phase between selves, matching the emotional liminality of a protagonist trying to re-enter a life that no longer fits cleanly.

Season 3's antagonists further sharpen hair's ideological work. The Mayor's folksy charm masks demonic ambition, while Faith offers a volatile counter-model of Slayerhood. Faith's hair is long, dark, untamed waves, a deliberate contrast to Buffy's increasingly smoothed cohesion. As Tasker notes, contrasting coiffures can become shorthand for contrasting moral or ideological positions (47).¹

Faith's hair embodies risk and excess. Buffy's return to cohesion marks her pull back toward institutional frames: the Watchers' Council, responsibility, legibility.

The season maps this visually. Post-breakup, the bangs rupture her established image. By "Amends" (3.10), the fringe softens and the overall look regains polish (00:04:05 00:04:10). By "Graduation Day, Part Two" (3.22), the bangs have disappeared into a long flowing style that moves freely in the climactic battle (00:32:30 00:32:50). The surface seems restored. Yet the restoration is not neutral. Transitional cuts leave ghost presence, a period where identity is defined by growing-out rather than arrival (Entwistle 59). Buffy's hair registers that returning "home" is not simply resuming a prior self. There is a before-and-after inscribed in the styling history, even when the camera stops emphasizing it.

Rebecca Williams asserts that teen series often use hair changes to announce transformation in clean, digestible terms (142). Buffy disrupts this logic. The bangs do not inaugurate a new stable phase. They mark fracture in progress, a self only partially returned. By the end of Season 3, Buffy's hair is longer than it has been since Season 1, the anomaly absorbed into cohesion. Yet the memory of the cropped fringe lingers as a reminder that seamlessness is built atop misalignment. Reintegration is slow, awkward, and never total.

Season 4: The Freshman and the Flattened Self

If Season 3's signature was brief disruption, Season 4's signature is deliberate flattening. From "The Freshman" (4.01), Buffy's hair is long, uniformly blonde, sleek to the point of aggressive neutrality (00:03:34 00:03:40). Earlier bounce and graduated layers give way to a glossy, ironed sheet that falls past her shoulders without interruption.

Paratext frames this as intentional. In DVD commentary for "The Freshman" (4.1, 00:12:34 00:12:58),

Bergstrom describes a styling brief of streamlining, less fuss, less high school pageantry. Straightening is meant to communicate clean line and control, aligning Buffy with late-1990s minimalism and its aspirational professional femininity. The look echoes an era of centre parts and ironed lengths associated with urbane composure.

Narratively, the control is more visual than real. Season 4 is dislocation: high school destroyed, friends dispersed, Buffy navigating UC Sunnydale as an alien environment. The Initiative and Adam embody institutional control, mechanization, professionalized discipline. Buffy's uniform hairline and gloss suggest belonging to that institutional frame even as the season insists on her estrangement. The hair becomes a visual compromise: she can look like she belongs while she does not feel like she does.

In comparative terms, Buffy's stasis reads as statement. *Charmed* in the same cultural moment treats hair as a carousel of reinvention. Buffy holds an image. As McRobbie suggests, fixity of image in transitional periods can function as refusal, a holding pattern within uncertain positioning (135). The holding pattern is especially legible in "Restless" (4.22, 00:37:51 00:37:55), where the world dissolves into dream logic but Buffy's hair remains straight, functioning as continuity anchor across shifting identities.

The sameness also becomes metaphor. The Initiative's worldview neutralizes difference, aims at interchangeability, treats bodies as components. Buffy's hair absorbs some of this uniformity. The move from layered bounce to straight fall flattens dimensionality and, with it, affect. It is a visual register of muted vitality.

The season allows brief deviations that read as cracks in the regime. In "Something Blue" (4.9), Willow's spell softens Buffy's straight hair into looser waves for the faux-romantic engagement scenes (00:20:05 00:20:10). In "Hush" (4.10), the absence of speech foregrounds visual cues, and her

hair is lit for movement, recalling earlier dynamism (00:19:10 00:19:15). These disruptions do not last. The straightness returns, as though the season's institutional logic reasserts itself.

By the end, persistence becomes decision. In "Primeval" (4.21), Buffy's hair moves in controlled waves as she delivers the decisive blow, suggesting mastery rather than submission (00:07:56 00:08:09). She can inhabit the aesthetic of control without being controlled by it. Season 4's hair arc is therefore less evolution than strategic stasis: a visual holding pattern that lets Buffy move through an unfamiliar world without broadcasting how destabilized she is.

Season 5: Regal Waves and the Ceremonial Crown

If Season 4's minimalism was assimilation and defense, Season 5 returns texture and movement with immediate force. "Buffy vs. Dracula" (5.1) makes the shift explicit in the first fight. Buffy's hair is no longer an ironed sheet. It is loose, layered, curling enough to catch the light (00:06:53 00:06:55). Against Dracula's theatrical seduction and mythic register, the hair functions as lure and signal, a glamour that meets him on his own terrain and counters the mechanistic with the mythical.²

This presentation frames the season's styling as warmer, richer, a return to texture. Straightening recedes; rollers and volumising produce not romantic fluff but a visual crown that marks Buffy's intensifying purpose. Hair stops being neutral frame. It becomes active storytelling surface.

Season 5's antagonists demand heightened styling politics. Glory enters as flawless hell-god with shimmering waves, a perfectionist glamour that reads as labour-intensive dominance. Buffy's blondness remains, but its semiotic weight shifts. In "Fool for Love" (5.7, 00:04:00 00:04:05), her softly waved, partially pulled-back hair frames her as warrior

and confidante, mediating mythic Slayerhood and star persona in a way that recalls Richard Dyer's "star text" logic (74). McRobbie's account of postfeminist masquerade becomes useful here: hyper-feminine styling can operate as strategic projection of control rather than submission (137). Buffy's waves read as power worn, not power given.

The season's core themes are inheritance and protection, intensified by Dawn's arrival. Buffy becomes sister and guardian, and the hair's volume and softness, its partial pinning and loosening, visualise doubled responsibility. Season 4's hair held the world at bay. Season 5's hair is receptive, capable of holding others in its frame. In "Family" (5.06, 00:37:18 00:37:22), the looseness reads as openness and solidarity within an episode structured around kinship and belonging.

The apex is "The Gift" (5.22, 00:43:15 00:43:18). Buffy's hair is full, multidimensional, halo-like, crowning and condemning her in the same visual beat. In DVD commentary (5.22, 00:12:34 00:12:58), Bergstrom describes a deliberate ceremonial aim: a general going into battle, a figure in a myth. As Buffy leaps, her hair moves like banner, balanced between order and freedom. It becomes spectacle without collapsing into passivity: a visual sacrifice alongside bodily sacrifice. The moment's power depends on what follows. Season 6's "dead hair" becomes legible precisely because Season 5 made hair feel so alive.

The Buffy-Glory pairing sharpens hair's politics. Both are blonde and visibly invested in presentation, but their styling philosophies diverge. Glory's hair is brittle ornament, impervious to circumstance. Buffy's remains adaptive. In "Spiral" (5.20, 00:43:20 00:43:23), flight loosens her ponytail, stray strands soften her face under pressure. The difference encodes two relations to power: ornamental perfection versus relational adaptability. Season 5 makes hair crown, shield, and banner, integrating style into thematic

architecture so tightly that the next season's depletion lands as an emotional event.

Season 6: The Dead Hair's Afterlife

Season 6 begins by stripping away Season 5's crown. In "Bargaining, Part One" and "Bargaining, Part Two" (6.01 6.02, 00:56:38 00:56:42), Buffy returns with long, straight, colour-drained hair that clings heavily to her face. Highlights and dimensionality disappear. Texture disappears. The first close-up in "Bargaining, Part Two" refuses continuity with the wind-swept ceremonial splendour of "The Gift." It announces not rebirth, but absence.

This is not organic neglect. It is designed to absorb light. The style evokes intentional flattening: lowlights removed, monotone produced, a look meant to communicate that Buffy is back but not alive. DVD commentary for "Bargaining, Part Two" (6.02, 00:14:10 00:14:52) reinforces the logic: no shampoo-commercial return, but a return that makes the audience feel that something vital is missing.

The "dead hair" matters because it breaches makeover logic. In much 1990s and early 2000s television, a heroine's hair transformation functions as narrative repair, a visible pivot toward regained control. Buffy refuses that grammar. The cut does not arrive to restore her. The resurrection arc rejects makeover as restorative ritual. Hair becomes symptom, not solution.

McRobbie's postfeminist masquerade depends on makeover as legible agency (137). Season 6 refuses. Buffy's hair does not signal empowerment or self-care. It registers depression, grief, disorientation. Banet-Weiser's critique of makeover culture clarifies the subversion: surface improvement is often asked to stand in for deep change, but Buffy's surface refuses to improve even as the story insists she has returned (84). The series insists that survival can look dull, heavy, unlit.

When a cut finally comes in “Gone” (6.11, 00:13:19 00:13:22), it arrives as sharp angled bob. In another series this would function as agency reclaimed, but the episodes around it refuse that reading. Depression persists. Alienation persists. The destructive entanglement with Spike persists. Rebecca Feasey’s point holds: surface change without narrative transformation exposes the fragility of makeover conceit (64). The bob reads as cosmetic gesture that cannot reach the depth of the wound.

Season 6 also sits within early 2000s tonal darkness. Post-September 11 television often shifted toward heavier affect and anti-heroic textures (Spigel 243). Buffy’s “dead hair” participates visually: the Slayer as survivor returned to a world where light does not promise salvation and surface does not guarantee repair.

Spike’s relation to Buffy’s hair intensifies the reading. In “Smashed” (6.9, 00:42:30 00:42:34), he pushes her hair away from her face with intimate impatience, as though trying to get to Buffy beneath the surface, and as though acknowledging the surface as barrier. Earlier softness admired in Season 5 becomes unreachable. Hair becomes index of relational rupture, not romantic glow.

By “Grave” (6.22, 00:04:20 00:04:24), the bob grows out and a faint suggestion of volume returns in the season’s sunlit coda. It is not a full reclamation, and it does not revert to Season 5’s ceremonial splendor. It sits in between, matching the season’s closing movement: Buffy choosing life again cautiously, without certainty, without the promise that choosing life restores radiance. Few heroines of the era sustained hair-as-trauma-marker with such commitment. Buffy holds the audience inside a prolonged alienating look, turning style into thematic architecture rather than trend display.

Season 7: From Mom Hair to Mother’s Hair

Season 7 opens in pragmatic mode. Taking Dawn to her first day at the new Sunnydale High, Buffy wears what she calls “mom hair”: a high ponytail that communicates logistics, urgency, and reduced tolerance for elaborate styling. She names it in “Lessons” (7.1, 00:13:20 00:13:23), half-joking, folding self-awareness into the look. The style is not about chasing trends. It acknowledges, and owns, functional plainness.

This is the Buffy who will soon be managing a household crowded with Potentials, training bodies that may not survive the week, cooking, planning, holding the line while Sunnydale collapses. The First Evil is the Big Bad, but the daily adversary is logistics: feeding, sheltering, organizing fear, distributing labour. In this environment, hair becomes tool. Pulled back, it clears the battlefield and the kitchen. The look frames Buffy less as ingénue and more as field commander.

Over the season, “mom hair“ transitions into what fans often frame as Mother’s hair: long, straight, center-parted, symmetrical, authoritative. Mother here is not simply age or parenthood. In queer and Black fan vernacular, Mother names a figure of cultivated authority whose charisma invites allegiance, a blend of dominance and care, style and strategic vision. Feminist media theory also offers a parallel archetype: maternal leadership that merges command with care work (hooks 133). Season 7 positions Buffy inside this synthesis. She trains with uncompromising rigor, shelters and feeds, inspires awe and fear, refuses abandonment.

The center-part becomes visual shorthand for that consolidation. Sleek enough to read ceremonial, simple enough to remain practical, it frames her as general addressing troops and caretaker promising stability. When Buffy is pushed out of her own house and temporarily exiled, the authority dims visually: hair falls flatter, light drains, the look subdued. When the First appears wearing Buffy’s face,

the hair is too perfect, too lacquered, hair-sprayed into counterfeit obedience. Buffy's lived-in adult hair, slightly mussed, reads as the real thing because it refuses that synthetic polish.

By "Chosen" (7.22), the hair is no longer costume. It is embodiment of command. Its simplicity loosens it from late-1990s trend cycles and marks Buffy as leader whose power exceeds the original teen-drama frame (00:17:10 00:17:15). The passage from ponytail pragmatism to centre-part authority is the series' final pivot. Buffy is no longer balancing a secret self against a public one. She is leading a collective into a new Slayer paradigm. Hair signals this consolidation, making authority and capacity to care visually inseparable.

Across Seasons 1 to 7, Buffy's hair works as a serialized system of legibility: it keeps her recognizable inside a 1990s girlhood beauty economy while quietly tracking shifts in power, trauma, and authority.

Season 1 uses honey-blonde mall-girl styling as camouflage, a surface that lets Slayer work hide inside cheerleader legibility, even through death. Season 2 sharpens that surface into polished composure, hair as a mask that holds while intimacy turns catastrophic with Angelus. Season 3 introduces the baby bangs as a brief glitch, a visible rupture that marks fracture rather than reinvention, then is absorbed back into coherence.

Season 4 flattens the look into sleek minimalism, signalling institutional discipline and affective stasis during college dislocation and the Initiative's regime. Season 5 restores texture and volume into regal waves, hair as crown and banner as Buffy grows into guardian and sacrificial leader against Glory. Season 6 drains color and shine into "dead hair," refusing makeover repair after resurrection, then complicates the midseason bob as surface change without emotional restoration. Season 7 shifts from

functional “mom hair” to a centered, authoritative style that reads as command: Buffy’s visual consolidation as general and maternal leader at the end of the series.

Discussion

Buffy Summers’ hair across *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*’s ten season arc, seven on television and five in comics, functions not as a static visual signature but as a serialized cultural text, deeply entangled with the politics of gender, youth, and beauty circulating in the late 1990s and early 2000s. From her first entrance in “Welcome to the Hellmouth,” the bright honey-blond layers, soft bangs, and white clip announce her as a child of *Clueless* and mall culture, a California teen heroine pre-packaged for cross-demographic consumption. This surface, as Whedon has noted in commentary, was intentionally warm and high school bright, a deliberate decoy masking the Slayer’s lethal reality. Currie, Kelly, and Pomerantz describe such presentation as aesthetic labor, the continual work of producing one’s body as a site of legible and marketable femininity (32). In Season 1, Buffy’s hair becomes camouflage for a warrior hiding in plain sight, a glossy protective layer meant to defer confrontation with darkness until the season finale episode “Prophecy Girl,” when her death fractures the illusion that appearance can shield her from the costs of her calling.

It is in this crack between surface and truth that the series begins to trouble the makeover logic so foundational to the era’s teen television. The refusal to substantially alter the style in the wake of trauma reinforces a central contradiction: appearance is not a transparent reflection of interior change. Instead, it becomes armor, a public face maintained against the incursions of private grief. In socio-cultural terms, this decision resists the makeover-as-cure narrative so prevalent in 1990s teen media. Where other heroines might cut their hair to signify transformation or

liberation, Buffy's early arc insists on endurance and recognizability, a refusal to give pain the spectacle of a new look. Negra's notion of the illusion of transparency in female celebrity, the idea that small visible changes are treated as revelatory while deeper complexities remain occluded, illuminates how Buffy's hair is made to signal growth, conceal rupture, or outright refuse recuperation (121). The show can still calibrate her within postfeminist legibility. Costume designer Cynthia Bergstrom recalls moving toward cleaner, sharper, more confident lines for a Slayer who "owns the room" (DVD commentary, "Surprise" 2.13, 00:18:42 00:19:10). Yet this confidence is surface-deep. When Angel becomes Angelus, the glittering bounce of the hair masks catastrophe, the cut becoming a fragile shield for a life undone. The point is not that the surface lies, but that it can be compelled to keep performing coherence when the narrative interior is breaking.

Nowhere is the hair-narrative dynamic more self-conscious than in Season 3's "baby bangs glitch." Following Angel's breakup with her, Buffy appears with choppy fringe, a visible misalignment with her otherwise polished Season 3 look. Tasker's observation that hair can embody the contradictions of female agency is here literalised: the bangs index both disruption and the provisional nature of change, their eventual growth-out marking reintegration rather than conversion (68). If the makeover contract dictates that style shifts signal resolution, this is instead an aesthetic holding pattern, a lived messiness that refuses to crystallize into a clean turning point. In this sense, the bangs read less like a rebirth and more like an interruption. They stage identity as process, not outcome. Halberstam's framing of failure as generative offers a useful lens here, not because the bangs are simply "bad hair," but because the text allows a moment of imperfect presentation to stand as meaningful without redeeming it through instant aesthetic repair (88).

Season 4 intensifies this logic through stasis rather than rupture. The flattened straightness is less about militarized precision than about the loss of buoyancy that accompanies Buffy's dislocation from the ritual coherence of high school to the sterile anonymity of UC Sunnydale. The style reflects a kind of exile from adolescence, a stripped-down look that can pass as maturity while registering affective contraction. The dreamscapes of "Restless" underscore this unmooring. The hair holds its line even as the self slips into symbolic drift, turning flatness into quiet refrain: control here reads as containment, legibility as a narrowing.

By Season 5, hair returns to soft waves and intricate half-up styles, worn against enemies and events that shift the register from institutional threat to mythic intimacy. Glory enters as a god whose own hair is immaculate, an image of hyper-managed perfection, while "The Body" forces the series to confront mortality without supernatural cover. Season 5's ensuing looks can be described as soft strength, not softening, in chiaroscuro more than *contre-jour*, an aesthetic coronation that reaches its apex when Buffy jumps from the tower in "The Gift," waves intact even in freefall. In that moment, the hair crowns her sacrifice, staging power as both spectacle and offering, while echoing hooks' description of maternal authority as power without detachment (133). The Season 5 "crown" is not simply glamorous. It is ceremonial in a way that binds leadership to care, the warrior to the sister, the Slayer to the guardian.

It is precisely this crown that is dismantled in Season 6, when "dead hair" takes the stage: long, flat, light-absorbing, an explicit refusal of the revitalizing post-trauma cut so central to makeover narrative. Bergstrom describes the Season 6 look as a post-return refusal of styling, while executive producer David Fury emphasizes that there would be no "raise-you-up" hair moment following Buffy's

resurrection (DVD commentary, “Bargaining, Part Two” 6.02, 00:14:10–00:14:52). Hair becomes the site where the show rejects compulsory recovery. Here, the surface does not rebound to reassure the viewer that the heroine has been restored. Ahmed’s “feminist killjoy” politics sharpens this reading: the hair refuses the pressure to aestheticize resilience, refuses to translate survival into shine (37). Even the sharp bob of “Gone” reads as cosmetic feint rather than transformation, its lack of narrative redemption underscoring that there is no “before” to which she can return. The makeover does not fail because it is insufficiently dramatic. It fails because the show insists that surface change cannot stand in for psychic repair.

Season 7 completes the slow pivot from girl to Mother, not as biology but as authority. In fan vernacular, Mother names a strong female figure to follow, to be protected by, to listen to, powerful and invincible yet accessible. Buffy’s hair serves this archetype through functional and symbolic shifts: the “mom hair” ponytail of “Lessons” and “Showtime” (7.11), pragmatic and battle-ready, and the long, straight, center-parted “Mother’s hair” of “Chosen,” composed for command and for address. The season’s final styling consolidates a decade of negotiation between legibility and agency. If early seasons used hair to keep the Slayer readable as “just a girl,” Season 7 lets readability become leadership: a look that refuses adolescent trend churn and performs steadiness as collective responsibility.

Afterlives: Hair Beyond the Television Text

Unlike the television series, whose visual continuity was shaped by seasonal arcs, network branding, and the material constraints of serial production, the Dark Horse Comics continuation operates within a markedly different industrial logic. Monthly publication schedules, rotating artists, and the absence of a single embodied performer produce

inevitable fluctuations in Buffy's visual representation, including her hair. The comics are treated here as an afterlife coda, less a parallel close reading than a test-case for what happens to hair's narrative work when continuity is no longer structurally guaranteed. Rather than reading this instability as failure, this article treats it as analytically productive: the comics externalize what the television series increasingly worked to contain, identity as citational, adaptive, and no longer anchored to a single stabilized image. In this post-televisual afterlife, hair functions less as a marker of developmental progression than as a mobile archive, selectively recalling, remixing, or abandoning earlier visual regimes according to narrative and genre demands.

In the comics, "Mother's hair" loses stability. Season 8 opens with "The Long Way Home," where Buffy's hair shifts length and texture panel to panel: sometimes wavy, sometimes pin-straight, sometimes cropped (Whedon et al.). The oscillation emerges partly from artistic variation and production rhythm, yet it becomes narratively resonant. The fixed authority of the television finale is loosened. Buffy moves through surreal global battles, rewritten magic, and proliferating enemies with hair as mutable as the world around her. Georges Jeanty has described these shifts as a mix of aesthetic play and production reality, a statement that reads less like an apology than an accidental theory of medium. Without an actor's body to anchor repetition, the hair becomes a floating signifier, less "what Buffy looks like" than "which Buffy is being cited in this panel."

By Season 9, the style settles into a practical shoulder-length cut in "Freefall" and "Welcome to the Team" (Whedon et al.). Buffy is no longer commanding an army of Potentials. She is navigating urban alienation, messy friendships, and the consequences of her own choices. The hair here reads as everyday and unmythologized, still recognizably Buffy but post-Mother, no longer required to

perform authority as a stable silhouette. Season 10's "New Rules" pushes this further by using hair as an overt citation system, deliberately recalling past regimes to match emotional beats: looser waves for camaraderie, sleeker straightness for confrontation, sharper geometry for tactical moments (Gage). Buffy's identity is less a single archetype than a set of deployable modes. She carries Mother within her, but as one register among many: mutable, displaceable, unfixed.

While some of this variability reflects the realities of monthly comic production, it also mirrors the thematic volatility of the Dark Horse continuation, where Buffy is not anchored to a stable institutional identity. Sudden shifts from loose waves to sharp bobs to elastic superhero length reproduce the instability of a world where magic has been renegotiated, alliances upended, and the Slayer myth re-scripted. In this medium, hair becomes less a marker of inner continuity and more a meta-textual device signaling genre mobility, artistic interpretation, and the fragmentation of post-network storytelling. If the television series tied hair to season arcs and brand continuity, the comics loosen that tether: Buffy's hair becomes an archive of self-reference, a shifting constellation of past Buffys cited, remixed, or discarded according to the demands of each arc.

Across the decade of stories, from "Welcome to the Hellmouth" through "New Rules" and beyond, the hair arc dismantles makeover logic in real time. Early seasons flirt with the promise that surface changes mirror interior progress. Season 6 cautions that a cut can fail to heal. Season 7 recasts self-fashioning as service to others. The comics liberate the signifier altogether, making hair mutable, displaceable, and unfixed.

Negra reminds us that postfeminist heroines are often tasked with "narrating change through style," their hair and clothing operating as condensed signifiers of personal

transformation, even when the narrative arc offers no equivalent interior development (123). Buffy subverts this expectation. In Season 6, the “dead hair” refuses the recuperative arc of the makeover; in Season 7, the “Mother’s hair” subordinates individual style to the demands of leadership, collapsing the distance between self-presentation and collective care; in the comics, mutability itself becomes the statement, breaking the linear temporality of the makeover narrative. In Ahmed’s terms, Buffy’s hair often enacts a kind of feminist killjoy politics, declining to deliver the visual payoff that mainstream narrative economy demands from its female protagonists (37). If every season demands that Buffy remain recognizable, her hair becomes the place where change can register anyway, a low-volume scar system that lets the series mark survival while keeping the heroine legible.

Enemies change Master, Angelus, Mayor, Initiative, Glory, Trio, First Evil, Twilight but every battle leaves a trace in the hair, each episode title a potential chapter in the follicular record. Buffy’s hair is neither static brand marker nor disposable fashion accessory. It is a narrative instrument whose shifts become consequences of battle, trauma, leadership, and world-making. Haraway’s notion of the material-semiotic node (201) captures this convergence of bodily image, fan memory, and narrative strategy: Buffy’s hair becomes an archive of self-reference rather than a linear indicator of growth.

Read this way, the comics do not resolve Buffy’s hair narrative. They unsettle it, extending the series’ critique of makeover logic into a medium where visual fixity is no longer structurally possible. Hair becomes the proof that “continuity” was never just about looking the same. It was about managing legibility, negotiating power, and deciding, again and again, what kinds of femininity a heroine is allowed to wear while she saves the world.

Conclusion

Buffy Summers' hair across *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*'s twelve-season arc is not a decorative afterthought or a continuity problem to be solved. It is one of the series' most disciplined storytelling instruments, a serialized surface that carries the weight that television usually asks women to carry silently. Buffy's hair functions as an ongoing cultural text, braided through plot, performance, production constraint, and fan memory, and its changes are never simply "new looks," but answers to pressure: the pressure to remain readable, to remain desirable, to remain marketable, to remain the kind of heroine the era could tolerate.

Following the hair season by season clarifies what Buffy's narrative often refuses to say directly. In Season 1, the honey-blond mall-girl sheen works as camouflage, a portable alibi that lets a lethal calling pass as teen normalcy. Seasons 2 and 3 lighten the contradiction between surface competence and interior collapse, as polish becomes a mask worn against intimacy-based catastrophe, and the brief "baby bangs glitch" punctures the fantasy that style can be managed into coherence. Season 4's flattening aesthetic translates dislocation into textureless control, a look that reads as discipline while the self underneath fails to belong. Season 5 returns to volume and ceremonial wave patterns that crown Buffy with mythic authority, staging femininity as command without surrendering it to parody. Season 6 then dismantles the crown. The "dead hair" refuses the makeover cure that teen television trained viewers to expect, making grief and depression legible precisely through the denial of visual reward. Season 7 completes the pivot from girlhood to leadership, shifting from pragmatic restraint to a severe, centered authority that frames Buffy less as an icon to admire than as a figure others must be able to follow. The comics extend the argument by breaking the conditions that made

hair a stable anchor on television. When the image becomes artist-dependent and discontinuous, hair turns fully citational, a mobile archive of earlier Buffys recalled, distorted, or discarded according to the demands of post-network seriality.

If those movements sound formal, the stakes are not. Hair is where the series repeatedly stages what it costs to be a woman in public, even a superpowered one. Postfeminist media culture promised that change could be narrated through style, that interior transformation could be rendered as legible surface, that agency could be proven by how well one managed one's own image. Buffy consistently troubles that contract. The moments that linger are the ones when the contract fails: when death arrives and the hair stays pristine anyway; when heartbreak hits and the solution is not a triumphant new cut but an awkward, temporary misalignment; when "control" looks like flattening rather than empowerment; when sacrifice is crowned in waves; when resurrection returns the body but does not return the shine. The series makes a quiet, devastating claim: the surface can be maintained while the self breaks, and maintenance is not the same thing as healing.

This is also where blondness matters. Read through blondness as a racialized aesthetic economy, Buffy's recognizability is not neutral. The persistence of the blonde template works as a technology of legibility that stabilizes her authority as familiar, desirable, and sellable. That stability is part of her power within the storyworld and part of the system that contains her outside it. The hair becomes a way the series negotiates who gets to look like a hero without explanation, who gets to be seen as "relatable" while doing violence, who gets to remain central even when the narrative pushes into darkness.

What this paper ultimately asks readers to feel is the intimacy of that labor. Buffy's hair is a public surface that

absorbs private cost. It is where the show repeatedly deposits crisis, grief, leadership, and institutional demand into something viewers can read quickly, repeatedly, collectively, and that cumulative readability is precisely the point. Hair becomes the archive of what the narrative cannot always afford to stage: the slow accumulation of wear, the strain of being watched, the demand to be coherent, the demand to be inspiring, the demand to keep moving. When the series lets the makeover logic break, when the hair refuses to deliver catharsis, when authority looks like caretaking rather than glamour, the show exposes the real stakes of legibility. Buffy's hair matters because it does not simply signify her growth. It maps the conditions under which her strength can be seen, tolerated, and sold, and it shows, with unsettling clarity, that surviving is sometimes the most radical style decision a heroine can be forced to wear.

Notes

¹ Editors' note: Such hair contrasts have been noted since at least the time of James Fenimore Cooper's characters of Cora and Alice Munro in his 1826 novel *The Last of the Mohicans*.

² In her discussion of the look of Lugosi's Dracula and Gellar's (and Bergstrom's) Buffy, Mary Ann Caws says of both, "Nothing is unplanned" (43).

Works Cited

- Ahmed, Sara. *The Promise of Happiness*. Duke UP, 2010.
- Allie, Scott. Interview with the creative team. *Buffy the Vampire Slayer: Season Ten—New Rules Deluxe Edition*, Dark Horse, 2014.
- Ang, Ien. *Living Room Wars: Rethinking Media Audiences for a Postmodern World*. Routledge, 1996.
- Banet-Weiser, Sarah. *Authentic™: The Politics of Ambivalence in a Brand Culture*. New York UP, 2012.
- . *Kids Rule!: Nickelodeon and Consumer Citizenship*. Duke UP, 2007.
- “Bargaining, Part One.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 6, Episode 1, Mutant Enemy, 2001, written by Joss Whedon, directed by David Grossman, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- “Bargaining, Part Two.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 6, Episode 2, Mutant Enemy, 2001, written by Marti Noxon, directed by David Grossman, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- “Becoming, Part One.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 2, Episode 21, Mutant Enemy, 1998, written by Joss Whedon, directed by James A. Contner, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- “Becoming, Part Two.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 2, Episode 22, Mutant Enemy, 1998, written by Joss Whedon, directed by James A. Contner, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Bordo, Susan. *Unbearable Weight: Feminism, Western Culture, and the Body*. U of California P, 1993.
- Booth, Paul. *Digital Fandom: New Media Studies*. Peter Lang, 2010.
- Brunsdon, Charlotte. *The Feminist, the Housewife, and the Soap Opera*. Oxford UP, 2000.
- Bruzzi, Stella. *Undressing Cinema: Clothing and Identity in the Movies*. Routledge, 1997.
- Bruzzi, Stella, and Pamela Church Gibson, editors. *Fashion Cultures Revisited: Theories, Explorations and Analysis*. Routledge, 2013.
- “Buffy vs. Dracula.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 5, Episode 1, Mutant Enemy, 2000, written by Joss Whedon, directed by David Solomon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Burton, Laini. “Nobody’s Fool: Power and Agency in Performing ‘The Blonde’.” *Critical Studies in Fashion and Beauty*, vol 3, no. 1, 2012, pp. 131–40.
- Caws, Mary Ann. “What to Wear in a Vampire Film.” *Fashion in Film*, edited by Adrienne Munich, Indiana UP, 2011, pp. 40–52.
- “Chosen.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 7, Episode 22, Mutant Enemy, 2003, written and directed by Joss Whedon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Currie, Dawn H., Deirdre M. Kelly, and Shauna Pomerantz. *Girl Power: Girls Reinventing Girlhood*. Peter Lang, 2004.

- Douglas, Susan. *Where the Girls Are : Growing Up Female with the Mass Media*. Crown, 1995.
- Driscoll, Catherine. *Girls: Feminine Adolescence in Popular Culture and Cultural Theory*. Columbia UP, 2002.
- Dyer, Richard. *Stars*. 2nd ed., British Film Institute, 1998.
- Entwistle, Joanne. *The Fashioned Body: Fashion, Dress and Modern Social Theory*. Polity, 2000.
- Feasey, Rebecca. *Masculinity and Popular Television*. Edinburgh UP, 2008.
- Feuer, Jane. "Genre Study and Television." *Channels of Discourse, Reassembled*, edited by Robert C. Allen, U of North Carolina P, 1992, pp. 138-60.
- "Fool for Love." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 5, Episode 7, Mutant Enemy, 2000, written by Douglas Petrie, directed by Nick Marck, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- "The Freshman." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 4, Episode 1, Mutant Enemy, 1999, written by Joss Whedon, directed by Joss Whedon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Gage, Christos, et al. "New Rules." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer Season Ten*. vol. 1, Dark Horse, 2014.
- "The Gift." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 5, Episode 22, Mutant Enemy, 2001, written and directed by Joss Whedon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Gill, Rosalind. *Gender and the Media*. Polity, 2007.
- "Gone." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 6, Episode 11, Mutant Enemy, 2002, written by Marti Noxon, directed by Michael Gershman, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- "Graduation Day, Part One." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 3, Episode 21, Mutant Enemy, 1999, written by Joss Whedon, directed by David Solomon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- "Graduation Day, Part Two." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 3, Episode 22, Mutant Enemy, 1999, written by Joss Whedon, directed by David Solomon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Halberstam, J. Jack. *The Queer Art of Failure*. Duke UP, 2011.
- Haraway, Donna J. *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*. Routledge, 1991.
- Harris, Anita. *Future Girl: Young Women in the Twenty-First Century*. Routledge, 2004.
- hooks, bell. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. South End Press, 1984.
- Jeanty, Georges. Artist interview. *Buffy the Vampire Slayer: Season Eight—The Long Way Home Deluxe Edition*, Dark Horse, 2007.
- Jenkins, Henry. *Textual Poachers: Television Fans and Participatory Culture*. Routledge, 1992.
- Jolly, Penny Howell. "Hair: Untangling a Social History." *Art History*. 8. Exhibition catalogue. Saratoga Springs, NY: Frances Young Tang Teaching Museum and Art Gallery, Skidmore College, 2004.
- "Lessons." *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 7, Episode 1, Mutant Enemy, 2002, written by Joss Whedon, directed by David Grossman, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).

- Lotz, Amanda D. *Redesigning Women: Television after the Network Era*. U of Illinois P, 2006.
- McLean, Adrienne L. *Costume, Makeup, and Hair*. Rutgers UP, 2016.
- McRobbie, Angela. *The Aftermath of Feminism: Gender, Culture and Social Change*. SAGE, 2009.
- Mittell, Jason. *Complex TV: The Poetics of Contemporary Television Storytelling*. NYU Press, 2015.
- Munich, Adrienne. *Fashion in Film*. Indiana UP, 2011.
- “Prophecy Girl.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 1, Episode 12, Mutant Enemy, 1997, written by Joss Whedon, directed by Joss Whedon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- “Restless.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 4, Episode 22, Mutant Enemy, 2000, written by Joss Whedon, directed by Joss Whedon, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Rooks, Noliwe M. *Hair Raising: Beauty, Culture, and African American Women*. Rutgers UP, 1996.
- Ruditis, Paul. *Buffy the Vampire Slayer: The Watcher’s Guide*. Vol. 3, Pocket Books, 2004.
- “Showtime.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 7, Episode 11, Mutant Enemy, 2003, written by David Fury, directed by Nick Marck, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Spigel, Lynn. “Entertainment Wars: Television Culture after 9/11.” *Television after TV*, edited by Lynn Spigel and Jan Olsson, Duke UP, 2004, p. 235-270.
- Stafford, Nikki. *Bite Me!: The Unofficial Guide to Buffy the Vampire Slayer*. 2nd ed., ECW Press, 2007.
- Tasker, Yvonne. *Working Girls: Gender and Sexuality in Popular Cinema*. Routledge, 1998.
- Tasker, Yvonne, and Diane Negra. *Interrogating Postfeminism: Gender and the Politics of Popular Culture*. Duke UP, 2007.
- Weitz, Rose. *Rapunzel’s Daughters: What Women’s Hair Tells Us About Women’s Lives*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2004.
- “Welcome to the Hellmouth.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer*, Season 1, Episode 1, Mutant Enemy, 1997, written by Joss Whedon, directed by Charles Martin Smith, Twentieth Century Fox, 2005 (DVD).
- Whedon, Joss, et al. “The Long Way Home.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer Season Eight*, vol. 1, Dark Horse, 2007.
- Whedon, Joss, et al. “Freefall.” *Buffy the Vampire Slayer: Season Nine*, vol. 1, Dark Horse, 2012.
- Williams, Linda. “Melodrama Revised.” *Refiguring American Film Genres*, edited by Nick Browne, U of California P, 1998, p. 42-88.
- Williams, Rebecca. *Post-Object Fandom: Television, Identity and Self-Narrative*. Bloomsbury, 2015.